1		Judge Pechman
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	NO. CR05-302MJP
	ŕ	
11	V.	ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL DATE
12 13 14	TAMMY L. BEACHEM, a/k/a "Tammy L. Baker," a/k/a "Tammy L. Bruner," a/k/a "Tracey Kay Williams," a/k/a "Brenda N. Delany," a/k/a "Brenda N. Dumars,"	
15 16	a/k/a "Brenda N. Dumars," a/k/a "Jimmie L. Cain," a/k/a "Jimmie L. Caine," a/k/a "Jimmie L. Lewis," a/k/a "Brenda Davis,"	
17 18	Defendant.	
19	THIS MATTER comes before the Court as a result of a motion by Defendant	
20	Tammy L. Beachem to have new counsel appointed, filed on October 5, 2005. Based on	
21	the information presented at the hearing on that motion, information presented to the	
22	Court through court staff, and being otherwise fully advised, the Court now finds and	
23	rules as follows:	
24	1. At the time Defendant's more	tion for new counsel was filed, trial was set for
25	October 17, 2005. This was the original to	rial date, and no previous continuances had

A hearing was held Defendant's motion for new counsel on October 11,

2005, just six days before the then-scheduled trial date.

been sought or granted.

- 3. At the hearing on October 11, 2005, Defendant was advised that obtaining a new lawyer so close to trial would necessitate a continuance of the trial date, and Defendant acknowledged and consented to the continuance.
- 4. Based on the information presented at the hearing, the Court orally granted the motion for new counsel. As part of the Court's order granting the motion, the Court struck the October 17, 2005 trial date with instructions that the parties contact the Court to set a new trial date once new defense counsel was appointed.
- 5. Ralph Hurvitz was appointed new defense counsel shortly thereafter. Mr. Hurvitz formally appeared in this matter on October 27, 2005.
- 6. Discovery in this matter is not inconsiderable, consisting of in excess of 925 pages of material none of which could have been reviewed by new counsel in time to prepare for the original trial date.
- 7. After preliminary reviewing the discovery and meeting with his client, the parties conferred about this matter's readiness for trial, and contacted Court staff to advise that both parties could be ready for trial on November 29, 2005.
- 8. Defendant filed a written "Waiver of Speedy Trial" through December 15, 2005, on or about October 24, 2005.
- 9. Defendant further filed a motion to dismiss Counts 4 and 6 of the Indictment on October 27, 2005, noted for consideration on November 14, 2005. The Government's response to that motion was filed on November 8, 2005. Consideration of that motion is still pending, further tolling the speedy trial clock pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(F).
- 10. The Court hereby finds that a continuance from October 17, 2005, until at least November 29, 2005, was and is necessary to avoid a miscarriage of justice within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). Denying the continuance, after granting the motion for new counsel for good cause shown, would have denied the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel and/or would have denied counsel for the defendant the

1	reasonable time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account the		
2	exercise of due diligence.		
3	11. For all of the reasons stated above, the Court further finds that the need to		
4	avoid this miscarriage of justice outweighs the Defendant's own best interest in a speedy		
5	trial, as well as the interest of the public in a speedy trial.		
6	12. Accordingly, the Court further finds that the time between October 17,		
7	2005 and November 29, 2005, is excludable time within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.		
8	§ 3161(h)(8)(A).		
9	Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions, now, therefore, it is hereby		
10	ORDERED that the trial in this matter is continued to November 29, 2005.		
11	ORDERED that pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h), the period of time from the		
12	current trial date to the new trial date is excluded in the computation of time under the		
13	Speedy Trial Act as this is a reasonable period of delay.		
14	SO ORDERED this 9 th day of November, 2005.		
15			
16	/S/Marsha J. Pechman		
17	THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN United States District Court Judge		
18			
19	Presented by:		
20	s/ Vincent T. Lombardi VINCENT T. LOMBARDI WSB # 21967		
21	Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Attorneys' Office		
22	700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, WA 98101 Phone: (206) 553-5178 Fax: (206) 553-0755		
23			
24	Email: <u>Vincé.Lombardi@usdoj.gov</u>		
25	Approved as to Form, Notice of Presentation Waived		
26	s/ Ralph Hurvitz RALPH HURVITZ		
27	RALPH HURVITZ Attorney for Defendant Tammy L. Beachem		
28			

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on November 9, 2005, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the attorney(s) of record for the defendant(s). I hereby certify that I have served the attorney(s) of record for the defendant(s) that are non CM/ECF participants via telefax. Leila Hasegawa, Legal Assistant 700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, WA 98101-1271 United States Attorney's Office Facsimile: 206-553-0755 Phone: 206-553-4579 E-mail: Leila.Hasegawa@usdoj.gov